arXiv:1702.00471v1 [math.NT] 1 Feb 2017

CANTOR SERIES AND RATIONAL NUMBERS

SYMON SERBENYUK

Abstract. The article is devoted to the investigation of representation of rational numbers by Cantor series. Criteria of rationality are formulated for the case of an arbitrary sequence (q_k) and some its corollaries are considered.

1. Introduction

The following series

$$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1}+\frac{\varepsilon_2}{q_1q_2}+...+\frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1q_2...q_k}+..., \tag{1}$$

where $Q\equiv(q_k)$ is a fixed sequence of positive integers $q_k>1$ and Θ_k is a sequence of the sets $\Theta_k\equiv\{0,1,...,q_k-1\}$ and $\varepsilon_k\in\Theta_k$, were considered by Georg Cantor in [1]. In the last-mentioned article necessary and sufficient conditions of expansions of rational numbers by series (1) are formulated for the case of periodic sequence (q_k). The problem of expansions of rational or irrational numbers by the Cantor series have been studied by a number of researchers. For example, P. A. Diananda, A. Oppenheim, P. Erdös , J. Hančl, E. G. Straus, P. Rucki, R. Tijdeman, P. Kuhapatanakul, V. Laohakosol, Mance B., D. Marques, Pingzhi Yuan studied this problem [2]-[14], [16, 17].

The main problem of the present article is the formulation of necessary and sufficient conditions of representation of rational numbers by the Cantor series for an arbitrary sequence (q_k). This problem is solved using notion of shift operator.

2. The main definitions

Definition 1. A number $x\in[0;1]$ represented by series (1) is denoted by $\Delta^Q_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2...\varepsilon_k...}$ and this notation is called *the representation of x by Cantor series* (1).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11K55.

Key words and phrases. Cantor series, rational numbers, shift operator.

Definition 2. A map σ defined by the following way

$$\sigma(x)=\sigma\left(\Delta^{Q}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_k\ldots}\right)=\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_2q_3\ldots q_k}=q_1\Delta^{Q}_{0\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_k\ldots}$$

is called *the shift operator*.

It is easy to see that

$$\sigma^n(x)=\sigma^n\left(\Delta^{Q}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_k\ldots}\right)=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_{n+1}q_{n+2}\ldots q_k}=q_1\ldots q_n\Delta^{Q}_{\underbrace{0\ldots0}_{n}\varepsilon_{n+1}\varepsilon_{n+2}\ldots}. \tag{2}$$

Therefore,

$$x=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1q_2\ldots q_i}+\frac{1}{q_1q_2\ldots q_n}\sigma^n(x). \tag{3}$$

In [15] the notion of shift operator of alternating Cantor series is studied in detail.

3. Rational numbers, that have two different representations

Certain numbers from $[0;1]$ have two different representations by Cantor series (1), i.e.,

$$\Delta^{Q}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_{m-1}\varepsilon_m000\ldots}=\Delta^{Q}_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2\ldots\varepsilon_{m-1}[\varepsilon_m-1][q_{m+1}-1][q_{m+2}-1]\ldots}\equiv\sum_{i=1}^{m}\frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1q_2\ldots q_i}.$$

Theorem 1. *A rational number $\frac{p}{r}\in(0;1)$ has two different representations iff there exists a number n_0 such that $q_1q_2\ldots q_{n_0}\equiv 0 \pmod r$.*

Proof. Necessity. Suppose

$$\frac{p}{r}=\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1}+\ldots+\frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{q_1q_2\ldots q_{n-1}}+\frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_1q_2\ldots q_n}=\frac{\varepsilon_1q_2\ldots q_n+\varepsilon_2q_3\ldots q_n+\ldots+\varepsilon_{n-1}q_n+\varepsilon_n}{q_1q_2\ldots q_n}.$$

Whence,

$$\varepsilon_n=\frac{pq_1q_2\ldots q_n-r\big(\varepsilon_1q_2\ldots q_n+\varepsilon_2q_3\ldots q_n+\ldots+\varepsilon_{n-1}q_n\big)}{r}.$$

Since the conditions $\varepsilon_n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $(p,r)=1$ hold, we obtain $q_1q_2\ldots q_n\equiv$ $\equiv 0 \pmod r$.

Sufficiency. Assume that $(p,r)=1$, $p<r$ and there exists a number n_0 such that $q_1q_2\ldots q_{n_0}\equiv 0 \pmod r$; then

$$\frac{p}{r}=\frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1}+\frac{\varepsilon_2}{q_1q_2}+\ldots+\frac{\varepsilon_{n_0}}{q_1q_2\ldots q_{n_0}}+\frac{\varepsilon_{n_0+1}}{q_1q_2\ldots q_{n_0}q_{n_0+1}}+\ldots=\sum_{i=1}^{n_0}\frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1q_2\ldots q_i}+t_{n_0},$$

where t_{n_0} is the residual of series, and

$$\frac{p}{r} = \frac{\varepsilon_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0} + \varepsilon_2 q_3 ... q_{n_0} + ... + \varepsilon_{n_0}}{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0}} + t_{n_0}.$$

Clearly,

$$p\theta = (\varepsilon_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0} + \varepsilon_2 q_3 ... q_{n_0} + ... + \varepsilon_{n_0}) + q_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0} t_{n_0}, \text{ where } \theta = \frac{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0}}{r}.$$

Since $p\theta$ is a positive integer number, we have $q_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0} t_{n_0} = 0$ or $q_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0} t_{n_0} = 1$. That is $x = \Delta^Q_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 ... \varepsilon_{n_0 - 1} \varepsilon_{n_0} 000...}$ in the first case and $x = \Delta^Q_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 ... \varepsilon_{n_0 - 1} [\varepsilon_{n_0} - 1][q_{n_0+1} - 1][q_{n_0+2} - 1]...}$ in the second case. □

4. Representations of rational numbers

Theorem 2. *A number x represented by series (1) is rational iff there exist numbers $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^n(x) = \sigma^{n+m}(x)$.*

Proof. Necessity. Let we have a rational number $x = \frac{u}{v}$, where $u < v$ and $(u, v) = 1$. Consider the sequence $(\sigma^n(x))$ generated by the shift operator of expansion (1) of the number x. That is

$$\sigma^0(x) = x,$$
$$\sigma(x) = q_1 x - \varepsilon_1,$$
$$\sigma^2(x) = q_2 \sigma(x) - \varepsilon_2 = q_1 q_2 x - q_2 \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2,$$
$$.....$$
$$\sigma^n(x) = q_n \sigma^{n-1}(x) - \varepsilon_n = x \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_i - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j q_{j+1} q_{j+2} ... q_n \right) - \varepsilon_n$$
$$.....$$

From expression (3) it follows that

$$\sigma^n(x) = \frac{u q_1 q_2 ... q_n - v(\varepsilon_1 q_2 ... q_n + ... + \varepsilon_{n-1} q_n + \varepsilon_n)}{v} = \frac{u_n}{v}. \qquad (4)$$

Since $v = const$ and the condition $0 < \frac{u_n}{v} < 1$ holds as $n \to \infty$, we see that $u_n \in \{0, 1, ..., v - 1\}$. Thus there exists a number $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u_n = u_{n+m}$. In addition, there exists a sequence (n_k) of positive integers such that $u_{n_k} = const$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Sufficiency. Suppose there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^n(x) = \sigma^{n+m}(x)$. In our case, from expression (2) it follows that

$$x \equiv \Delta^Q_{\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 ... \varepsilon_n 000...} + \frac{q_{n+1} ... q_{n+m}}{q_{n+1} ... q_{n+m} - 1} \Delta^Q_{\underbrace{0...0}_{n} \varepsilon_{n+1} \varepsilon_{n+2} ... \varepsilon_{n+m} 000...}.$$

Thus one can to formulate the following proposition.

Lemma 1. *If there exist numbers $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^n(x) = \sigma^{n+m}(x)$, then x is rational and the following expression holds:*

$$\sigma^n(x) = \frac{q_1 q_2 ... q_n q_{n+1} ... q_{n+m}}{q_{n+1} ... q_{n+m} - 1} \Delta^Q_{\underbrace{0...0}_{n}\varepsilon_{n+1}\varepsilon_{n+2}...\varepsilon_{n+m}000...}.$$

Theorem 2 proved. □

Theorem 3. *A number $x = \Delta^Q_{\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2...\varepsilon_k...}$ is rational iff there exist numbers $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\Delta^Q_{\underbrace{0...0}_{n}\varepsilon_{n+1}\varepsilon_{n+2}...} = q_{n+1} ... q_{n+m} \Delta^Q_{\underbrace{0...0}_{n+m}\varepsilon_{n+m+1}\varepsilon_{n+m+2}...}.$$

Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 are equivalent.

5. Certain corollaries

Consider the condition $\sigma^n(x) = const$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$. It is easy to see that there exist numbers $x \in (0; 1)$ such that the last-mentioned condition is true, e.g.

$$x = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3 \cdot 5} + \frac{3}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7} + ... + \frac{n}{3 \cdot 5 \cdot ... \cdot (2n+1)} + ... = \sigma^n(x) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Lemma 2. *If $\sigma^n(x) = x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n - 1} = const = x$.*

Proof. If $\sigma^n(x) = \sigma^{n+1}(x) = const$, then $\sigma^n(x) = q_{n+1}\sigma^n(x) - \varepsilon_{n+1}$. Whence,

$$\sigma^n(x) = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{q_{n+1} - 1} = const. \tag{5}$$

That is

$$x = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1 - 1} = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{q_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_2}{q_1(q_2 - 1)} = ... = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1 q_2 ... q_i} + \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n-1}(q_n - 1)} = ...$$

□

Remark 1. If the condition $\sigma^n(x) = const$ holds for all $n \geq n_0$, where n_0 is a fixed positive integer number, then from (3) it follows that the condition $\frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n - 1} = const$ holds for all $n > n_0$.

Lemma 3. *Suppose n_0 is a fixed positive integer number; then the condition $\sigma^n(x) = const$ holds for all $n \geq n_0$ iff $\frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n - 1} = const$ for all $n > n_0$.*

Proof. Necessity follows from the previous lemma.

Sufficiency. Suppose the condition

$$const = p = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n - 1} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{q_{n+1} - 1} = ... = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+i}}{q_{n+i} - 1} = ...$$

holds for all $n > n_0$. Using the equality $\frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n - 1} - \frac{\varepsilon_n}{q_n(q_n - 1)}$, we get

$$\sigma^n(x) = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_{n+1}...q_i} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{q_{n+1} - 1} - \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{q_{n+1}(q_{n+1} - 1)} \right) +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+i+1}}{q_{n+i+1} - 1} - \frac{\varepsilon_{n+i+1}}{q_{n+i+1}(q_{n+i+1} - 1)} \right) \prod_{j=n+1}^{n+i} \frac{1}{q_i} \right) =$$

$$= p \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_{n+1}} \right) + p \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{q_{n+i+1} - 1} \right) \prod_{j=n+1}^{n+i} \frac{1}{q_j} \right) = p.$$

□

It is easy to see that the following statement is true.

Proposition 1. *The set* $\{x : \sigma^n(x) = x \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ *is a finite set of order* $q = \min_n q_n$ *and* $x = \frac{\varepsilon}{q-1}$, *where* $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1, ..., q - 1\}$.

Lemma 4. *Suppose we have* $q = \min_n q_n$ *and fixed* $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1, ..., q - 1\}$; *the condition* $\sigma^n(x) = x = \frac{\varepsilon}{q-1}$ *holds iff the condition* $\frac{q_n - 1}{q-1}\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ *holds for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Necessity follows from Proposition 1 and equality (5).

Sufficiency. Suppose $\varepsilon_n = \frac{q_n - 1}{q-1}\varepsilon$; then

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\frac{q_n - 1}{q-1}\varepsilon}{q_1 q_2 ... q_n} = \frac{\varepsilon}{q - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q_n - 1}{q_1 q_2 ... q_n} = \frac{\varepsilon}{q - 1}.$$

□

Corollary 1. *Let* n_0 *be a fixed positive integer number,* $q_0 = \min_{n > n_0} q_n$ *and* ε_0 *be a numerator of the fraction* $\frac{\varepsilon_{n_0+k}}{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n_0} q_{n_0+1} ... q_{n_0+k}}$ *in expansion* (1) *of* x *providing that* $q_{n_0+k} = q_0$; *then* $\sigma^n(x) = const$ *for all* $n \geq n_0$ *iff the condition* $\frac{q_n - 1}{q_0 - 1}\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ *holds for any* $n > n_0$.

Consider expression (4). The main attention will give to existence of the sequence (n_k) such that $u_{n_k} = const$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in (4). Let we have

a rational number $x \in [0;1]$ then there exists the sequence (n_k) such that $\sigma^{n_k}(x) = const$. The last condition can be written by

$$const = \sum_{i=n_1+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_{n_1+1}...q_i} = \sum_{i=n_2+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_{n_2+1}...q_i} = ... = \sum_{i=n_k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_{n_k+1}...q_i} = ...$$

It is easy to see that Cantor series (1) for which the condition $\sigma^{n_k}(x) = const$ holds can be written by a certain Cantor series for which the condition $\sigma^k(x) = const$ holds. That is

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1 q_2 ... q_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \frac{\varepsilon_j}{q_1 q_2 ... q_j} + \frac{1}{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n_1}} x',$$

$$x' = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n_k+1} q_{n_k+2} q_{n_k+3} ... q_{n_{k+1}} + \varepsilon_{n_k+2} q_{n_k+3} ... q_{n_{k+1}} + \varepsilon_{n_{k+1}-1} q_{n_{k+1}} + \varepsilon_{n_{k+1}}}{(q_{n_1+1}...q_{n_2})(q_{n_2+1}...q_{n_3})...(q_{n_k+1}...q_{n_{k+1}})} =$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{(\mu_1+1)...(\mu_k+1)}. \qquad (6)$$

In the case of series (6) the condition $\sigma^k(x') = const$ holds for all $k = 0, 1, ...$

Clearly, the following statement is true.

Theorem 4. *The number x represented by expansion (1) is rational iff there exists a subsequence (n_k) of positive integers sequence such that for all $k = 1, 2, ...$, the following conditions are true:*

- $$\frac{\lambda_k}{\mu_k} = \frac{\varepsilon_{n_k+1} q_{n_k+2} ... q_{n_{k+1}} + \varepsilon_{n_k+2} q_{n_k+3} ... q_{n_{k+1}} + ... + \varepsilon_{n_{k+1}-1} q_{n_{k+1}} + \varepsilon_{n_{k+1}}}{q_{n_k+1} q_{n_k+2} ... q_{n_{k+1}-1}} = const;$$
- *$\lambda_k = \frac{\mu_k}{\mu}\lambda$, where $\mu = \min_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mu_k$ and λ is a number in the numerator of fraction, which denominator equals $(\mu_1+1)(\mu_2+1)...(\mu+1)$, from sum (6).*

Let x be a rational number then

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{q_1 q_2 ... q_k} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1 q_2 ... q_i} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n+1}} + ... + \frac{\varepsilon_{n+m}}{q_1 q_2 ... q_n q_{n+1} ... q_{n+m}} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+m+j}}{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n+m+j}} =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{q_1 q_2 ... q_i} + \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1} q_{n+2} ... q_{n+m} + ... + \varepsilon_{n+m-1} q_{n+m} + \varepsilon_{n+m}}{q_1 q_2 ... q_n (q_{n+1} ... q_{n+m})} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n+m+j}}{q_1 q_2 ... q_{n+m+j}}.$$

From Theorem 2 it follows that

$$\sigma^n(x) = \sigma^{n+m}(x) = \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}q_{n+2}...q_{n+m} + ... + \varepsilon_{n+m-1}q_{n+m} + \varepsilon_{n+m}}{q_{n+1}...q_{n+m} - 1}.$$

Hence the following statement is true.

Theorem 5. *If the number x represented by Cantor series* (1) *is rational* ($x = \frac{u}{v}$), *then there exist $n \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$q_1q_2...q_n(q_{n+1}q_{n+2}...q_{n+m} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{v}.$$

References

[1] G. Cantor, Ueber die einfachen Zahlensysteme, *Z. Math. Phys.*, **14**, 121–128 (1869).

[2] P. H. Diananda and A. Oppenheim, Criteria for irrationality of certain classes of numbers II, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **62**, No. 4, 222–225 (1955).

[3] P. Erdös and E. G. Straus, On the irrationality of certain Ahmes series, *J. Indian. Math. Soc.*, **27**, 129–133 (1968).

[4] P. Erdös and E. G. Straus, On the irrationality of certain series , *Pacific J. Math.*, **55**, No.1, 85–92 (1974).

[5] J. Hančl, A note to the rationality of infinite series I, *Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostr.*, **5**, No.1, 5–11 (1997).

[6] J. Hančl, A note on a paper of Oppenheim and Šalát concerning series of Cantor type, *Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostr.*, **10**, 35–41 (2002).

[7] J. Hančl and R. Tijdeman, On the irrationality of Cantor series, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **571**, 145–158 (2004).

[8] J. Hančl and R. Tijdeman, On the irrationality of Cantor and Ahmes series, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **65**, No.3-4, 371–380 (2004).

[9] J. Hančl and R. Tijdeman, On the irrationality of factorial series, *Acta Arith.*, **118**, 383–401 (2005).

[10] J. Hančl and R. Tijdeman, On the irrationality of factorial series III, *Indag. Mathem., N. S.*, **20**, No.4, 537–549 (2009).

[11] J. Hančl and R. Tijdeman, On the irrationality of factorial series II, *J. Number Theory*, **130**, No.3, 595–607 (2010).

[12] P. Kuhapatanakul and V. Laohakosol, Irrationality of some series with rational terms, *Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.)*, **35**, 205–209 (2001).

[13] B. Mance, *Normal numbers with respect to the Cantor series expansion*, Dissertation, The Ohio State University, 2010.

[14] A. Oppenheim, Criteria for irrationality of certain classes of numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **61**, No.4, 235–241 (1954).

[15] S. Serbenyuk, Representation of real numbers by the alternating Cantor series, *arXiv:1602.00743v1.* Link: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1602.00743v1.pdf

[16] S. O. Serbenyuk, Real numbers representation by the Cantor series, *International Conference on Algebra dedicated to 100th anniversary of S. M. Chernikov: Abstracts, Kyiv: Dragomanov National Pedagogical University*, p. 136 (2012). Link: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301849984

[17] Robert Tijdeman and Pingzhi Yuan, On the rationality of Cantor and Ahmes series, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, **13**, No.3, 407–418 (2002).

Institute of Mathematics, National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 3 Tereschenkivska St., Kyiv, 01004, Ukraine
E-mail address: simon6@ukr.net